

PATENT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


IN RE APPLICATION OF : GRUBISH, et al.  
FOR : **CABLE CLOSURE AND ASSEMBLY**  
SERIAL NO. : 09/981,187  
FILED : October 16, 2001  
EXAMINER : Brian Healy  
ART UNIT : 2874  
NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE MAILED : October 30, 2003  
CONFIRMATION NO. : 5396  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : PREZ 2 00437

**CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10**

"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number: EL 998014814 US

Date of Deposit: January 9, 2004

I hereby certify that the attached ***Issue Fee Transmittal Form, Issue Fee, and Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance*** are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.


  
Barbara Brazier



**CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10**

"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number: EL 998014814 US  
Date of Deposit: January 9, 2004

I hereby certify that the attached **Issue Fee Transmittal Form, Issue Fee, and Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance** are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

  
Barbara Brazier

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE APPLICATION OF : GRUBISH, et al.  
FOR : **CABLE CLOSURE AND ASSEMBLY**  
SERIAL NO. : 09/981,187  
FILED : October 16, 2001  
EXAMINER : Brian Healy  
ART UNIT : 2874  
NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE MAILED : October 30, 2003  
CONFIRMATION NO. : 5396  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : PREZ 2 00437

Cleveland, OH 44114

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

MAIL STOP Issue Fee  
Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication as to the allowance of the present application.

However, applicants respectfully submit the Statements of Reasons for Allowance are, in and of themselves, inappropriate. It is noted that the reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims." (37 CFR


§1.104(e)(2001)). In the present case, applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and, therefore, no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, the applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance.

Specifically, it has been indicated that the claims are allowed by importing interpretations into the claims in relation to the prior art that results in a potential imprecise and/or inaccurate understanding of the reasons. This places an unwarranted interpretation upon the claims. Such a characterization of the claims does not properly take into account applicants' claimed invention as reflected in the specification and the applicants' responses to the Examiner's Office Actions.

Therefore, while applicants believe the claims are allowable, applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in only the features, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,  
MINNICH, & McKEE, LLP



Michael E. Hudzinski  
Reg. No. 34,185  
1100 Superior Avenue  
Seventh Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518  
(216) 861-5582